

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,073	06/12/2002	Kiyoshi Fuda	220036USOPCT	7579	
22850	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PRICE, ELVIS O		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1621		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/088	.073	FUDA ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
	·	Elvis O.		1621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI unsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. 0) days, a reply within the s tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (30 I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comm ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> .							
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmer	nt(s)		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 048)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Proof No(s)/Mail Date 13.			nal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 1621

L . . .

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-10 and 12-19 are pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, filed 3/10/04, complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP02 § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franklin et al. {WO 9410973}, in view of Hiroaki et al. {Abstract of the English Translation of the 76th Spring Meeting of chemical Society of Japan}.

Applicants claim, in brief, a treatment process of a solution containing fluorinated organic compound, comprising adding divalent and trivalent metal salts to the said

Application/Control Number: 10/088,073

Art Unit: 1621

solution thereby forming a layered double hydroxide (LDH) having the fluorinated organic compound between the layers to absorb and fix the fluorinated organic compound.

Franklin et al. teach a process for preparing layered double hydroxides (LDH) comprising adding divalent and trivalent metal salts to a solution containing organic compounds, containing a hydrocarbon backbone, so as to absorbed and fix the said organic compounds between the layers of the LDH (See Summary of the Invention; page 5; and Examples 1-7). The difference between the presently claimed invention and what the Franklin et al. reference teaches is that the Franklin et al. reference does not teach the using fluorinated organic compounds to absorb and fix between the layers of the LDH.

Hiroaki et al. teach a process of absorbing and fixing (intercalating) fluorinated organic compounds (fluorinated surfactants) between the layers of layered double hydroxides. Hiroaki et al. also teach that the fluorinated surfactants have fascinating characteristics such as thermal stability, water as well as oil repellency, ability of lowering the surface tension and so forth (see abstract).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the teachings of the Franklin et al. and Hiroaki et al. reference, to arrive at the presently claimed invention because Franklin et al. teach a similar process for preparing layered double hydroxides (LDH) comprising adding divalent and trivalent metal salts to a solution containing organic compounds, containing a hydrocarbon backbone, so as to absorbed and fix the said organic compounds between the layers of the LDH and

Art Unit: 1621

Hiroaki et al. teach LDH containing fluorinated organic compounds absorbed and fixed between the layers of the LDH.

One having ordinary skill in the art, desiring to arrive at art recognizable methods for obtaining LDH with fluorinated organic compounds intercalated between the layers of the LDH, would have been motivated to substitute the fluorinated surfactants, taught by Hiroaki et al., into the Franklin et al. process for preparing LDH. One having ordinary skill in the art, desiring to recover fluorinated surfactants from solution, would have been motivated to treat a solution of fluorinated surfactants, with divalent and trivalent metal salts to so as to absorbed and fix the said fluorinated surfactants between the layers of the formed LDH. Therefore the presently claimed invention would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elvis O. Price whose telephone number is 571 272-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571 272-0646. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,073

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

Elvis O. Price

June 10, 2004